

## What's wrong with the survey:

The survey does not state that **agricultural vehicles and powered wheelchairs** (e.g. trampers) are routinely exempt from TROs. This is a serious omission.

Q7 does not allow for a **change in frequency of use over time**: e.g. do you use the route less now than you did because of the increased motor vehicle traffic?

Q8 – Q11 assume that enjoyment can only be affected by **meeting** other users, not by the effect their use has had on the route and the countryside, e.g. severe erosion, rutting. It also does not allow for diminished enjoyment through the **expectation** that motor vehicles might be encountered at any time.

Q12 “Provide evidence to back up your comments.” This sounds like an exam question. All the evidence you need to provide is your experience.

Q13 - Q22 assume that the enjoyment of the surrounding area can only be affected if you are away from, not on the route. But the enjoyment consists to a large extent of views of the surrounding area, e.g. Langdale Pikes, **from the route**. The same is true for noise pollution: being able to hear engine noise 500 metres away on the track spoils the enjoyment of the surrounding area.

Q26 – see Q12.

Q28 – The 3<sup>rd</sup> option, ‘consensus working’, has been in force since 2000 in the shape of the Hierarchy of Trail Routes’. It is this management scheme that has led to the current influx of motor vehicles.

To include an option banning walkers is preposterous.